

## Juvenile Corrections, Department of Administration

### Description:

The administrative services section provides support and oversight functions for the Department of Juvenile Corrections. Services provided include state and federal grants administration, program analysis and evaluation, computer systems management, fiscal management, and human resources. All department actions are in support of the Balanced Approach to juvenile corrections.

### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

1. Determine the effectiveness of the juvenile offender programs.
  - A. Baseline recidivism rates for institutional and other specific programs (currently being determined).

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	To be determined	40	40
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
38	38	38	38

- B. Escapes per year for institutional programs. JCC-E/JCC-W/JMC

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
3/2/NA	2/2/0	1/0/0	0/3/0
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
1/1/0	1/1/0	1/1/0	1/1/0

- C. Escapes per year for private provider programs.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	165	91	48
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
16	16	8	4

2. To develop and implement a statewide juvenile offender tracking system. This project has been delayed. IJOS will begin implementation Fall 1998.

- A. Number of DJC and county juveniles currently entered into the IJOS system.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	N/A	N/A	4,196
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
30,246	45,369	45,600	45,600

---

## Juvenile Corrections, Department of Administration

---

B. Number of individual user database logins per day.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	N/A	N/A	36
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
150	200	200	200

### Program Results and Effect:

The Administrative Division of the Department of Juvenile Corrections was created during the 1995 legislative session. The Balanced Approach promotes three areas of juvenile justice: community protection, offender accountability, and competency development. The following strategies have been identified as major goals of the department: to build a statewide computer network in conjunction with the Department of Administration which will link juvenile justice professionals across the State; to measure the effectiveness of residential programs; to provide funding opportunities for local communities through distribution of state and federal grant programs; to promote a wider variety and availability of effective juvenile programs; to improve system-wide communications; and to promote county level prevention and early intervention programs. It is the responsibility of the Administrative Division to ensure that the department goals are accomplished.

For more information contact Tony Meatte at 334-5100 ext. 110.

---

**Juvenile Corrections, Department of  
Juvenile Corrections - Field Service**

---

**Description:**

The Field Services division encompasses the functions of the District Liaisons. The District Liaisons provide coalition building expertise to members of their region, coordinate between county juvenile justice entities, and act as a resource for developing juvenile programs. In addition, District Liaison personnel have recently taken responsibility for assisting counties with block grant and Tobacco tax reporting.

**Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:**

1. To facilitate a statewide juvenile justice system characterized by effective communication and cooperation between the DJC and Idaho's communities.
  - A. Average number of meetings attended with county and coalition personnel per district liaison.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
244	168 est.	185	216
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
216	216	216	216

2. Provide assistance to counties in developing, maintaining, and refining juvenile programs.
  - A. The average number of publications provided to county personnel.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
331	168 est.	282	1,416
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500

- B. The number of counties with Tobacco tax reports complete and up to date.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
6	4	44	44
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
44	44	44	44

3. Assist counties in strengthening their ability to manage high-risk juvenile offenders within the community.
  - A. Number of screening teams participated in by DLs.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	336 est.	291	178
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
291	291	291	291

---

**Juvenile Corrections, Department of**  
**Juvenile Corrections - Field Service**

---

B. Number of counties with Block grant reports complete and up-to-date.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	N/A	26	44
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
44	44	44	44

C. Number of counties with Tobacco Tax reports complete and up-to-date.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	N/A	44	44
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
44	44	44	44

**Program Results and Effect:**

Because of the coalition building and coordination nature of the Field Services work, it is difficult to measure the results and effects of services provided. The District Liaisons provided assistance with the county use of Block Grant and Cigarette tax moneys, provided training in the Balanced Approach, and review private providers in their districts. Each Liaison works closely with elected officials such as legislators, probation officers, county and other elected officials, school districts, and service providers in their districts. The Goals and Objectives for this program were changed to better reflect the District Liaison's new roles.

For more information contact Paul Carroll at 334-5100 ext. 104.

## Juvenile Corrections, Department of Juvenile Corrections - Institutions

### Description:

The Institutional Services Division encompasses two secure juvenile facilities: JCC-E and JCC-W, as well as the Juvenile Management Center (JMC). In addition this unit includes the construction of the new JMC unit, and the conversion of the old JMC into a secure sex offender facility. This program includes the facilities management and maintenance support for the entire department.

### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

1. To improve the effectiveness of incarceration/residential programs.

- A. Percent of youth re-evaluated yearly.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
100%	100%	100%	100%
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
100%	100%	100%	100%

- B. Percent of programs reviewed and found to be effective.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	98%	12%	42%
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
99%	99%	100%	100%

- C. Number of escapes per year from institutions and private providers. (JCC-E/JCC-W/Private providers.)

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
4/3/NA	2/2/128	1/0/91	0/3/48
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
1/1/32	1/1/16	1/1/8	1/1/4

2. Develop cost effective incarceration options.

- A. Number of youth served by DJC, JCC-E, JCC-W, and private providers. \*May contain duplicate if juveniles are served by multiple facilities.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
936/NA/NA/NA	904/252/57/481	800/300/88/688	821/262/78/848*
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
821/262/78/848	821/262/78/848	821/262/78/848	821/262/78/848

---

## Juvenile Corrections, Department of Juvenile Corrections - Institutions

---

B. Average child custody days for youth in custody during the fiscal year.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	378	378	386
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
378	378	378	378

C. Average length of stay for JCC-E, JCC-W, and JMC. (days)

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
342/NA/NA	283/178/14	322/170/14	361/149/14
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
328/150/14	328/150/14	328/150/14	328/150/14

3. To provide administrative support functions to the department.

A. Number of new policies and procedures developed in line with the Balanced Approach philosophy.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	7	8	8
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
15	5	5	5

4. To assure juvenile programs are consistent and of high quality. (This program is currently being implemented so some data not available.)

A. Number of youth served by private providers per year. \*May contain duplicates if juveniles are served by multiple providers.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	N/A	688	848*
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
848	848	848	848

B. Percent of available facilities visited by the DLs each month.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	N/A	66%	80%
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
90%	90%	100%	100%

**Program Results and Effect:**

DJC is in the process of updating the institutional services available in order to better meet the needs of the incarcerated youth and to fulfill legislative intent to implement the Balanced Approach. In addition, the cap on the number of youth the courts can commit to DJC was lifted, so DJC has had to increase the number of private programs available to meet the increased need for bed space. Strategies to accomplish these goals include: developing a wide array of effective placement options; creating "step down" transition to the community; regular monitoring of youth to assure progress in selected programming, or to allow for change to a more suitable program; improving the cost-effectiveness of current placements.

For more information contact Dawn Burns at 334-5100 ext. 109.

---

## Juvenile Corrections, Department of Juvenile Justice Commission

---

### Description:

The Commission was established by Executive Order 95-09 to administer funds received through the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) Act of 1974 as amended. The goals of this program are to develop comprehensive services at a local level, provide professional education and training opportunities for juvenile justice personnel, coordinate communities efforts through a district-wide approach, and to work with the Reservations to improve services with native American youth.

### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

1. Reduce the rate of juveniles committed to the department through promotion of prevention and early intervention programs.

A. Number of federal grant proposals received. (Applications)

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
79	60	68	103
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
103	103	103	103

B. Number of federal grants awarded.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
39	30	33	84
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
84	84	84	84

C. Number of technical assistance requests received.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	12	32	75
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
50	50	50	50

D. Number of training requests received.

Actual Results			
1996	1997	1998	1999
N/A	23	83	130
Projected Results			
2000	2001	2002	2003
130	130	130	130



**Program Results and Effect:**

Since the inception of this program the juvenile justice commission has received over \$10 million of JJDP Act funds. All grant awarded projects must use performance indicators to measure their success in all three components of the Balanced Approach. Federal grants distributed this year have funded resource and referral programs, comprehensive parent education, recreation and tutoring programs for at-risk youth, early intervention programs, foster care coordinators, and electronic monitoring programs, training projects, a status offender project, and projects addressing the specific needs of female offenders and minority youth.

For more information contact Sharon Harrigfeld at 334-5100 ext. 111.